




EHFG 2019 Evaluation Survey Report

Table of contents

Executive summary	3
The EHFG four pillars statistic 2019 General survey statistics	3 5
Session evaluation	6
Opening Plenary: A healthy dose of disruption?	6
Thursday Plenary: The human touch in a digital world	7
Closing Plenary: The Global Climate Crisis – a Public Health Emergency	7
Evaluation of forum sessions	8
Evaluation of workshops	9
Comparison of all sessions	
Registration, organisation & overall impression	11
Evaluation of organisational elements of the conference	11
Rating of conference networking	12
Most rewarding activities at the conference	12
Comparison to previous EHFGs	13
Would you participate in the conference again?	13

Executive summary


The 2019 edition of the European Health Forum Gastein (EHFG) was attended by 595 delegates from 37 countries.





The EHFG four pillars statistic 2019

Based on overall conference participation



The EHFG 2019 survey was sent out to all delegates via email and was posted on our social media outlets. It remained open for five weeks. The survey was completed by 143 respondents (24.03% of all delegates).

The survey was divided into four parts, in which respondents were asked general questions about the conference overall and specific questions concerning the different sessions they attended. They were asked to express their opinion about the registration and organisational elements of the conference, and their overall impression of the conference. In the last part of the survey, there were open ended questions about the participants' recommendations for improvement of future conferences. For reasons of text length and legibility, the open comments were not added to the report, but were discussed and evaluated internally.


In most of the survey questions respondents were asked to choose one answer that they found most applicable. However, for some questions they were allowed to give multiple answers and express their personal suggestions or points of criticism.

General rating scheme used in this evaluation:


Ū.		
1= no influence	3 = medium influence	5 = high influence
1=total disagreement	3 = neutral	5 = total agreement
1=poor (knowledge)	3 = medium (knowledge)	5 = excellent (knowledge)

General survey statistics

Participation in a previous conference



In what way(s) were you made aware of the EHFG 2019? (multiple answers possible)




n=143

Session evaluation

Opening Plenary: A healthy dose of disruption?


1=total disagreement, 5=total agreement



Thursday Plenary: The human touch in a digital world

I was satisfied with the level of engagement and interactivity 4,08 The plenary speakers and debate were of a high standard 4,05 The quality of moderation was high 1 2 3 4 5 n=122

Closing Plenary: The Global Climate Crisis – a Public Health Emergency




1=total disagreement, 5=total agreement

1=total disagreement, 5=total agreement

n=122


Evaluation of forum sessions

1=poor, 5=excellent | top-down



Evaluation of workshops


1=poor, 5=excellent | top-down




Comparison of all sessions

1=poor, 5=excellent | top-down

L4 Horizon Europe L6 We are what we eat F8 Obesity in Europe - time for a new approach? F4 Towards the Economy of Well-being F5 When epidemiology meets Big Data F2 Changing the game on health inequalities F11 Al: It's not (just) about the technology! F1 Public stewardship & economic globalisation F9 CAR-T: The evolution of a revolution? F3 The digital future of healthcare F10 European alcohol policies W1 Steering, not rowing W5 Transforming HIV responses in Europe F12 A European Cancer Plan F6 Vaccine ecosystem health check W4 Save blood, save lives L2 Medical use of cannabis and cannabinoids L7 making real world evidence real L1 Facts. Figures! Fiction? L3 Health workforce disruption W3 Data for safer care L5 Shortage of essential medicines B1 Nobody Left Outside W2 Mind the citizen F7 Can people afford to pay for healthcare?




Registration, organisation & overall impression Evaluation of organisational elements of the conference




1=poor, 5=excellent

Rating of conference networking


1=poor, 5=excellent



Most rewarding activities at the conference



Comparison to previous EHFGs



Would you participate in the conference again?

