

As discussed in Gastein...
EHFG 2019 Evaluation
2-4 OCTOBER 2019, BAD HOFGASTEIN, AUSTRIA

A HEALTHY
DOSE OF
DISRUPTION?
TRANSFORMATIVE
CHANGE FOR
HEALTH AND
SOCIAL
WELL-BEING



EHFG 2019

Evaluation Survey Report

Table of contents

Executive summary	3
The EHFG four pillars statistic 2019	3
General survey statistics	5
Session evaluation	6
Opening Plenary: A healthy dose of disruption?	6
Thursday Plenary: The human touch in a digital world	7
Closing Plenary: The Global Climate Crisis – a Public Health Emergency	7
Evaluation of forum sessions	8
Evaluation of workshops	9
Comparison of all sessions	10
Registration, organisation & overall impression	11
Evaluation of organisational elements of the conference	11
Rating of conference networking	12
Most rewarding activities at the conference	12
Comparison to previous EHFGs	13
Would you participate in the conference again?	13


Executive summary

The 2019 edition of the European Health Forum Gastein (EHFG) was attended by 595 delegates from 37 countries.



The EHFG four pillars statistic 2019

Based on overall conference participation



The EHFG 2019 survey was sent out to all delegates via email and was posted on our social media outlets. It remained open for five weeks. The survey was completed by 143 respondents (24.03% of all delegates).

The survey was divided into four parts, in which respondents were asked general questions about the conference overall and specific questions concerning the different sessions they attended. They were asked to express their opinion about the registration and organisational elements of the conference, and their overall impression of the conference. In the last part of the survey, there were open ended questions about the participants' recommendations for improvement of future conferences. For reasons of text length and legibility, the open comments were not added to the report, but were discussed and evaluated internally.


In most of the survey questions respondents were asked to choose one answer that they found most applicable. However, for some questions they were allowed to give multiple answers and express their personal suggestions or points of criticism.

General rating scheme used in this evaluation:


- | | | |
|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1 = no influence | 3 = medium influence | 5 = high influence |
| 1 = total disagreement | 3 = neutral | 5 = total agreement |
| 1 = poor (knowledge) | 3 = medium (knowledge) | 5 = excellent (knowledge) |

General survey statistics

Participation in a previous conference




In what way(s) were you made aware of the EHFG 2019? (multiple answers possible)



Session evaluation


Opening Plenary: A healthy dose of disruption?

1=total disagreement, 5=total agreement




Thursday Plenary: The human touch in a digital world

1=total disagreement, 5=total agreement




Closing Plenary: The Global Climate Crisis – a Public Health Emergency

1=total disagreement, 5=total agreement




Evaluation of forum sessions

1=poor, 5=excellent | top-down




Evaluation of workshops

1=poor, 5=excellent | top-down




Comparison of all sessions

1=poor, 5=excellent | top-down



Registration, organisation & overall impression


Evaluation of organisational elements of the conference




1=poor, 5=excellent

Rating of conference networking


1=poor, 5=excellent



Most rewarding activities at the conference




Comparison to previous EHTGs



n=45

Would you participate in the conference again?



n=131